

## Morné Theron

Senior Environmental Professional  
Environmental & Heritage Management Branch  
Environmental Management Department

T + 27 21 444 0601  
E morne.theron@capetown.gov.za

29 May 2017

Doug Jeffery Environmental Consultants (Pty) Ltd  
P O Box 44  
KLAPMUTS  
7625

**Attention: Ms Adél Groenewald**

[e-mail: Adel@dougjeff.co.za]

Dear Madam

**CAPE FARM 1552 DUYNEFONTEIN: KOEBERG NUCLEAR POWER STATION PROPOSED HARDENED WATER RESERVOIR AND ASSOCIATED PIPING – DRAFT BASIC ASSESSMENT REPORT**  
**[City Reference: B21/1/2/2/13S]**  
**[DEA Reference: 14/12/16/3/3/1/1759]**

The abovementioned draft Basic Assessment Report (DBAR), dated April 2017, refers

1. City of Cape Town: TDA: Environmental & Heritage Management Branch
  - 1.1 Section 10(e) of the Executive (page 27) incorrectly refers to the car park development. Whilst an EIA (DEA reference 14/12/16/3/3/1/1736) is currently being conducted for the on-site car park expansion it is unclear why the car park, instead of the Hardened Water reservoir, is being discussed in this section? This must be corrected.
  - 1.2 Section 13: Water Use (page 34) of the DBAR states that the reservoir will be filled with municipal water. In this section please include the following:
    - 1.2.1 Stipulate the amount of water required (cross-reference this with page i of the Executive Summary);
    - 1.2.2 Clarify how and from where the water will be transported to the reservoir.
    - 1.2.3 Given the current drought and pressure on the City of Cape Town's potable water supply, it is questioned why the reservoir cannot be filled from the on-site borehole supply?
  - 1.3 The preferred location appears to be adjacent to the proposed Weskusfleur substation (DEA 14/12/16/3/3/2/508), the new Koeberg-Dassenberg 132KV powerline (DEA 12/12/20/2557) and the new Transient Interim Fuel Storage Facility (DEA 14/12/16/3/3/2/947). Yet the Layout Plan (Appendix A2) is unclear as to how the proposed hardened water reservoir will be spatially located in relation to the aforementioned structures/facilities. The need for a composite map is therefore required.
  - 1.4 Annexure A of the HIA refers to a Palaeontological Assessment that is not attached to the document, this must be provided.
  - 1.5 The site is located in an area of known high archaeological and palaeontological sensitivity and range from local to international significance.
  - 1.6 However the recommendations by the heritage specialist on pages 6-7 of the HIA are deemed appropriate and therefore supported. It is noted that the said recommendations by the heritage specialist are included on page 46 of the EMPr.

2. City of Cape Town: Specialised Environmental Health: Air Quality Management

Dust mitigation, is to be strictly enforced during all phases of development of proposal, i.e. any site clearing/preparation, the excavations grading, bulldozing, compaction, loading, hauling, stockpiling, etc. during the construction phase of the project in order to prevent dust emission from causing a nuisance to the surrounding environment.

- 1.1 In this regard, the conditions stipulated in the National Dust Control Regulations (GN. 36974) dated 1 November 2013 must be adhered to at all times during the development process.
- 1.2 Should it be deemed necessary by the Air Quality Management office during the development of the site, a more detailed site specific dust management plan may be requested which is to be submitted to the Head: Specialised Environmental Health at 246 Voortrekker Road, Vasco.
- 1.3 Considering the fact that water restrictions may be on-going for some time, dampening of soil with potable water is to be avoided and alternative means of dust control is to be implemented, which may include the use of non-potable water.
- 1.4 Please note that the City's Air Quality Management by-law has been amended and reference to the 2.10 by-law (page 34 of the report) must be amended to reflect the City of Cape Town Air Quality Management By-law, 2016.

3. City of Cape Town: TDA: Land Use Management

The proposed preferred location and alternative location of the reservoir are being positioned within the area zoned for Risk Industry and as such a primary land use right.

4. City of Cape Town: Utility Services, Water & Sanitation

Bulkwater

No infrastructure under the control of the City of Cape Town's Bulk Water Branch exists in the immediate vicinity of the proposed hardened water reservoir shown in the application.

The City of Cape Town's bulk supply system has sufficient water resource, treatment, bulk storage and conveyance capacity to supply the 9500 kl of potable water of the combined two tanks required for a once off filling. **In light of the current drought restrictions the filling shall be limited to off-peak demand periods, and shall be subject to prior discussion and agreement with the Bulk Water Branch.**

Water Reticulation

There is water supply to the southern boundary of the Cape Farm 1552 by means of the existing 400mm water supply located off Otto du Plessis Street (refer to the Water Distribution system plan, Drawing No: CTN-R51, attached Figure 1).

The peak pressure in the 400mm water main is about 74m. The 400mm supply is capable of delivering 144 l/s maximum. In practice this means it will take about 18,5 hrs to fill the reservoir.

[Note: There is also a 500mm water main along the Westcoast Road however the 500mm water main is dedicated to the far north (i.e. Atlantis , Pella and Mamre) and not suitable to draw from]

Sewerage

Sewerage is not affected nor required due to the nature of this project.

5. City of Cape Town: TDA, Assets & Maintenance

It is mentioned in the report that the total footprint will be 2 000m<sup>2</sup>, however it is unclear whether this will be all hard surfaced. Stormwater run-off from a 2 000m<sup>2</sup> area will be negligible, yet irrespective of the aforementioned uncertainty, the report should address how stormwater run-off will be managed.

The abovementioned comment must be included in the final BAR. Kindly submit the final Report (once available) to this office in the form of 1 x hard copy and 1 x CD / flash disk version.


Yours faithfully



Pat Titmuss

**Regional Manager: Environmental & Heritage Management – Northern Region**

FIGURE 1



DATE	REV	SCALE	WGS 19
Nov 2014	1	1:2500	
No.:			CTN-R51

Water distribution system  
City of Cape Town

INDEX

CTN-000	CTN-005	CTN-010	CTN-015
CTN-020	CTN-025	CTN-030	CTN-035



- LEGEND
- Network pipes (D)
  - Bulk water pipes
  - Schematic pipes
  - Bulk connection
  - Reservoir / Tower
  - Pump station
  - Zone valve
  - Isolating valve
  - Hydrant
  - Non-return valve
  - Air valve
  - Scour valve
  - End cap
  - Flare meters

CITY OF CAPE TOWN  
ISIXEKO SASEKAPA  
STAD KAAPSTAD

CFM 1552

400φ

**REFERENCES:**

16/3/3/6/4/1/1/A1/16/3099/17 (Development Management)

19/2/5/3/A1/16/WL0038/17 (Waste Management)

**DATE:** 2017 -05- 29

The Board of Directors  
Doug Jeffery Environmental Consultants (Pty) Ltd  
P.O. Box 44

**KLAPMUTS**

7625

**For attention: Ms Adél Groenewald**

Tel: (021) 875 5252

E-mail: [Adel@dougjeff.co.za](mailto:Adel@dougjeff.co.za)

Dear Madam

**COMMENT ON THE DRAFT BASIC ASSESSMENT REPORT FOR THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT OF A HARDENED WATER SUPPLY SYSTEM (TWO RESERVOIRS) AND ASSOCIATED PIPING AT THE KOEBERG NUCLEAR POWER STATION LOCATED ON THE FARM DUYNEFONTYN NO. 1552, MELKBOSSTRAND (DEA REF: 14/12/16/3/3/1/1759)**

1. The Draft Basic Assessment Report ("BAR") dated April 2017 as received by the Department on 21 April 2017, refers. The Department has, *in principle*, no objection to the proposed development, subject to consideration of the following consolidated comment from various Directorates within the Department.
2. Directorate: Development Management (Region 1) – Melanese Schippers ([Melanese.Schippers@westerncape.gov.za](mailto:Melanese.Schippers@westerncape.gov.za); Tel: (021) 483 8349):
  - 2.1 From a botanical perspective, the preferred site alternative is identified as Alternative 2. The Heritage Impact Assessment dated December 2016 compiled by ACRM indicates that no archaeological heritage resources were encountered at Site Alternative 2. Archaeological resources graded as having a low significance were observed at Site Alternative 1. The applicant's preferred site alternative is Alternative 1. Please be advised that the identification of feasible and reasonable alternatives is inextricably linked to the impacts identified. This Directorate would therefore like to

highlight that the alternative with the least impacts should be deemed as the most reasonable and feasible alternative.

- 2.2 It is noted that water for the reservoirs will be obtained from the local authority (City of Cape Town). Confirmation must be obtained from the local authority that they have sufficient spare, unallocated capacity to provide water to the proposed development. Proof of confirmation must be included in the Final BAR to be submitted to the competent authority. (In this regard, also see comment 4.1 below.)
- 2.3 The diameter of the proposed pipeline as well as the start, middle and end coordinates of the pipeline route must be included in the Final BAR to be submitted to the competent authority.
- 2.4 The list of interested and affected parties (“I&APs”) and a comments and responses report responding to comments raised by I&APs must be included in the Final BAR to be submitted to the competent authority.
- 2.5 The final comment from Heritage Western Cape (“HWC”) on the Heritage Impact Assessment must be included with the submission of the Final BAR to the competent authority. Please be advised that Appendix J2 of the Draft BAR is not the final comment of HWC, but is their response to the Notice of Intent to Develop, requesting that a Heritage Impact Assessment be conducted.
- 2.6 Proof of public participation conducted must be included in the Final BAR to be submitted to the competent authority. Please note that the proof must include, *inter alia*, the following:
  - 2.6.1 Copies of the newspaper advertisements (“newspaper clippings”), indicating the name of the newspapers and date of publication;
  - 2.6.2 Photographs showing the notice displayed on site and a copy of the text displayed on the notice; and
  - 2.6.3 With regards to the written notices provided, the following must be included in the Final BAR:
    - (i) if registered or regular mail was sent, a list of the registered or regular mail sent, as obtained from the post office;
    - (ii) if a facsimile was sent, a copy of the facsimile report;
    - (iii) if an electronic mail was sent, a copy of the electronic mail sent and delivery reports; and
    - (iv) if a “mail drop” was done, a signed register of “mail drops”.
3. Directorate: Waste Management – Stefan Milandri ([Stefan.Milandri@westerncape.gov.za](mailto:Stefan.Milandri@westerncape.gov.za); Tel: (021) 483 4086):
  - 3.1 This Directorate is satisfied that the Environmental Management Programme (“EMPr”) dated April 2017 adequately addresses waste management aspects (including the waste management hierarchy) and hazardous materials handling.
  - 3.2 The electronic copy of the Draft BAR contains an appendix entitled “Application Form”. Please be advised that the appendix is an Amendment Application Form for the proposed mixed use

development on portion of the Remainder of Erf No. 1897, Blue Downs. The correct Application Form must be included with the submission of the Final BAR to the competent authority.

4. Directorate: Pollution and Chemicals Management – Gunther Frantz  
([Gunther.Frantz@westerncape.gov.za](mailto:Gunther.Frantz@westerncape.gov.za); Tel: (021) 483 2975):
- 4.1 As per comment 2.2 above, confirmation must be obtained from the City of Cape Town that they have sufficient capacity to provide water to the proposed development, especially in the light of the current drought situation.
5. Please direct all enquiries to the officials indicated in this correspondence should you require any clarity on any of the issues/comrnents provided.
6. The Department reserves the right to revise initial comments and request further information based on any or new information received.

Yours faithfully



pp **HEAD OF DEPARTMENT**

**DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL AFFAIRS AND DEVELOPMENT PLANNING**

Copy to: Ms Azrah Essop (DEA)

E-mail: [AEssop@environment.gov.za](mailto:AEssop@environment.gov.za)

**SCIENTIFIC SERVICES**

**postal** Private Bag X5014, Stellenbosch, 7599  
**physical** Assegaaibosch Nature Reserve, Jonkershoek  
**website** [www.capenature.co.za](http://www.capenature.co.za)  
**enquiries** Rhett Smart  
**telephone** +27 21 866 8017 **fax** +27 21 866 1523  
**email** [rsmart@capenature.co.za](mailto:rsmart@capenature.co.za)  
**reference** SSD14/2/6/1/4/1/34\_diesel tanks\_Koeberg  
**date** 27 March 2017

Doug Jeffery Environmental Consultants  
P.O. Box 44  
Klapmuts  
7625

Attention: Adel Groenewald  
By email: [adel@dougjeff.co.za](mailto:adel@dougjeff.co.za)

Dear Adel

**Draft Basic Assessment Report for the Proposed Water Reservoir and Associated Piping at the Koeberg Nuclear Power Station, Farm Duynefontein 1552, Melkbosstrand**

CapeNature would like to thank you for the opportunity to comment on the proposed development and would like to make the following comments. Please note that our comments only pertain to the biodiversity related impacts and not to the overall desirability of the proposed development.

The application is for a water reservoir for additional water storage for cooling purposes in the case of potential extreme events. Two alternative locations have been proposed, both within the high security area of the property. The property is classified as Protected Area according to the Western Cape Biodiversity Spatial Plan. It should be noted that the entire property (Cape Farm 34) forms part of the Koeberg Nature Reserve.

With regards to the Koeberg Nature Reserve, it should be noted that the private nature reserve proclaimed under the Western Cape Nature and Environmental Conservation Ordinance, 1974 (Ordinance 19 of 1974), has been made compliant with the provisions of the National Environmental Management: Protected Areas Act (NEM:PAA – Act 57 of 2003). A stewardship agreement was signed between Eskom and CapeNature in March 2015 and a protected area management plan (PAMP) has been compiled in terms of NEM:PAA (termed the Management Plan for the Koeberg Nature Reserve). This PAMP has approved by the MEC for Local Government, Environmental Affairs and Development Planning.

All development or changes from a natural condition within a protected area should be controlled by the approved PAMP in terms of NEM:PAA. None of the proposed alternative locations are within the Conservation Zone of the nature reserve. Both location alternatives are within the Developed Zone – Noxious Industrial and are therefore compliant with the PAMP. Any alternative locations within the Conservation Zone would be non-compliant.

The natural vegetation occurring in the study area is Cape Flats Dune Strandveld listed as Endangered. There are no wetlands or watercourses located within the direct vicinity of the proposed reservoir location alternatives or where they could be affected, therefore no specialist studies are considered necessary in this regard.

A botanical specialist study was undertaken which confirmed that the two alternative sites do contain natural vegetation, which had re-established after the initial construction of the nuclear power station. Therefore the natural vegetation is relatively species-poor containing mainly common disturbance tolerant species and the likelihood of any threatened species occurring was considered to be low.

Alternative 2 in the south was selected as the preferred alternative by the botanical specialist, as the cover of natural vegetation for this site was approximately 15% of the affected area as opposed to 75% for Alternative 1 in the north. The remainder of Alternative 2 has been transformed by construction activities. CapeNature agrees with the recommendation of the botanical specialist of Alternative 2 as preferred from a biodiversity perspective. It should be noted that there are several other applications for the subject property which have also required specialist studies which can be used to cross-check the current application, and we support the findings as stated above.

The overall preferred project alternative is Alternative 1 based on technical and safety factors. The botanical specialist report does indicate that both of the two alternative locations are acceptable, with the impact being of Low Negative (Alternative 1) or Very Low Negative (Alternative 2) significance. Therefore although Alternative 2 is preferred from a biodiversity perspective, CapeNature does not object to the authorisation of either alternative, provided the proposed mitigation measures are implemented.

CapeNature reserves the right to revise initial comments and request further information based on any additional information that may be received.

Yours sincerely

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Rhett Smart', with a horizontal line underneath.

**Rhett Smart**  
**For: Manager (Scientific Services)**



## **environmental affairs**

Department:  
Environmental Affairs  
**REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA**

Private Bag X 447· PRETORIA · 0001· Environment House · 473 Steve Biko Road, Arcadia,· PRETORIA  
Tel (+ 27 12) 399 9372

**DEA Reference:** 14/12/16/3/3/1/1759

**Enquiries:** Constance Musemburi

**Telephone:** 012-399-9416 **E-mail:** CMusemburi@henvironment.gov.za

Ms Adel Groenewald  
Doug Jeffery Environmental Consultants (Pty) Ltd  
P. Box 44  
**KLAPMUTS**  
7625

Tel No: 021 875 5272  
e-mail: Adel@dougjeff.co.za

### **PER E-MAIL / MAIL**

Dear Ms Groenewald

### **COMMENTS ON THE DRAFT BASIC ASSESSMENT REPORT FOR THE PROPOSED HARDENED WATER RESERVOIR AND ASSOCIATED PIPING AT THE KOEBERG NUCLEAR POWER STATION LOCATED ON THE FARM DUYNEFONTYN NO. 1552, MELKBOSSTRAND, WESTERN CAPE PROVINCE.**

The application form and the draft basic assessment report (DBAR) received by the Department 24 April 2017 and the acknowledgement of receipt thereof dated 24 April 2017 refers.

This Department has the following comments on the abovementioned application:


- i. Please ensure that all relevant listed activities are applied for, are specific and that it can be linked to the development activity or infrastructure as described in the project description.
- ii. If the activities applied for in the application form differ from those mentioned in the final BAR, an amended application form must be submitted. Please note that the Department's application form template has been amended and can be downloaded from the following link <https://www.environment.gov.za/documents/forms>. Please note that the activities you applied for are in line with the amendment regulations of April 2017.
- iii. The Environmental Assessment Practitioner (EAP) must ensure that an adequate motivation on the applicability of each listed activity that triggers the proposed development is provided. The applicability of each activity against the actual threshold for the proposed development must be verified.
- iv. Please ensure that all issues raised and comments received during the circulation of the BAR from registered I&APs and organs of state which have jurisdiction in respect of the proposed activity are adequately addressed in the final BAR. Proof of correspondence with the various stakeholders must be included in the final BAR. Should you be unable to obtain comments, proof of the attempts that were made to obtain comments must be submitted to the Department. The Public Participation Process must be conducted in terms of Regulation 39, 40, 41, 42, 43 & 44 of the EIA Regulations 2014.
- v. Please ensure that all issues raised and comments received during the circulation of the draft BAR from registered I&APs and organs of state which have jurisdiction in respect of the proposed activity are adequately addressed in the final BAR. Proof of correspondence with the various stakeholders must be

included in the final BAR. Should you be unable to obtain comments, proof of the attempts that were made to obtain comments must be submitted to the Department.

- vi. Please provide a description of all identified alternatives for the proposed activity that are feasible and reasonable, including the advantages and disadvantages that the proposed activity or alternatives will have on the environment and on the community that may be affected by the activity as per Appendix 1 (2) (e) and 3 (1) (h) (i) of GN R.982 of 2014. Alternatively, you should submit written proof of an investigation and motivation if no reasonable or feasible alternatives exist in terms of Appendix 1.
- vii. The final BAR must provide an assessment of the impacts and mitigation measures for each of the listed activities applied for.
- viii. Cumulative impacts of similar type of developments in the area must form part of the studies that must be assessed as part of the final BAR process.
- ix. The final BAR must provide the technical details for the proposed facility in a table format as well as their description and/or dimensions.
- x. In terms of Appendix 1 of the EIA Regulations, 2014, the report must include an undertaking under oath or affirmation by the EAP in relation to:
  - the correctness of the information provided in the reports;
  - the inclusion of comments and inputs from stakeholders and I&APs;
  - the inclusion of inputs and recommendations from the specialist reports where relevant;
  - any information provided by the EAP to interested and affected parties; and
  - responses by the EAP to comments or inputs made by interested or affected parties.
- xi. In terms of Appendix 1 (3) (1) (a) of the EIA Regulations 2014, the details of—
  - (i) the EAP who prepared the report; and
  - (ii) the expertise of the EAP to carry out Scoping and Environmental Impact assessment procedures;must be submitted.
- xii. You are further reminded that the final BAR to be submitted to this Department must comply with all the requirements in terms of the scope of assessment and content of BAR in accordance with Appendix 1 of the EIA Regulations, 2014.
- xiii. Further note that in terms of Regulation 45 of the EIA Regulations 2014, this application will lapse if the applicant fails to meet any of the timeframes prescribed in terms of these Regulations, unless an extension has been granted in terms of Regulation 3(7). Please submit a CD together with the final BAR.

You are hereby reminded of Section 24F of the National Environmental Management Act, Act No 107 of 1998, as amended, that no activity may commence prior to an environmental authorisation being granted by the Department.

Yours sincerely



**Mr Sabelo Malaza**  
**Chief Director: Integrated Environmental Authorisations**  
**Department of Environmental Affairs**  
**Signed by: Mr. Wayne Hector**  
**Designation: Deputy Director: Strategic Infrastructure Developments**  
**Date: 29/05/17**

cc:	Riedewaan Bakardien	Eskom Holdings SOC Ltd.	Tel: 021 550 4671	Email: BarkardR@eskom.co.za
	Pat Titmuss	City of Cape Town	Tel: 021 444 0597	Email: Pat.Titmuss@capetown.gov.za

### **Proof of correspondence with Heritage Western Cape (HWC)**

HWC was requested to provide their comments during the 30 day PPP, however no comment was received.

Since final comment from HWC was not received to date, and to avoid the project from lapsing the Final BAR is submitted without the final comment from HWC.

HWC was requested to provide the EAP with the minutes of the IACOM discussion and it was noted that the HOMS recommends that the proposal be approved and the ECO to be trained in fossil finds procedure.

See correspondence hereafter.

Final comment from HWC will be forwarded to DEA once received.

## Adél Groenewald

---

**Subject:** FW: koeberg concrete reservoir

**From:** Andrew T September [mailto:Andrew.September@westerncape.gov.za]

**Sent:** Tuesday, 18 July 2017 5:39 PM

**To:** Adél Groenewald

**Cc:** Waseefa Dhansay; Jonathan Kaplan

**Subject:** RE: koeberg concrete reservoir

Dear Adel

See below for the referral minute (please note that the minute is subject to edits (mainly that it was agreed that the matter was referred to APM not IACOM) from our supervisor Zwelibanzi at [Zwelibanzi.shiceka@westerncape.gov.za](mailto:Zwelibanzi.shiceka@westerncape.gov.za) :

Farm 34	Farm Duynefontein, directly north of the perimeter fence surrounding the Koeberg Nuclear Power Station, construction of a concrete water reservoir in the footprint area of the existing Koeberg Nuclear Power Station	Duynefontein, Melkbosstrand, CT	S38(8)-HIA	16092709AS1006M 14072909GT0826E 14022510GT0225E	Refer to IACom (12.7.2017)	HOMS recommends that the proposal be approved. ECO to be trained in fossil finds procedure.	27.6.2017
---------	--	---------------------------------	------------	---	----------------------------	---	-----------

I will message my superiors regarding your request.

Kind Regards

**Andrew September (Heritage Officer)**

**Heritage Western Cape**

**3rd Floor Protea Assurance Building**

**Greenmarket Square**

**Cape Town**

**8001**

---

**From:** Adél Groenewald [<mailto:Adel@dougjeff.co.za>]  
**Sent:** 18 July 2017 04:30 PM  
**To:** Andrew T September <[Andrew.September@westerncape.gov.za](mailto:Andrew.September@westerncape.gov.za)>  
**Cc:** Waseefa Dhansay <[Waseefa.Dhansay@westerncape.gov.za](mailto:Waseefa.Dhansay@westerncape.gov.za)>; Jonathan Kaplan <[acrm@waccess.co.za](mailto:acrm@waccess.co.za)>  
**Subject:** RE: koeberg concrete reservoir

Hi Andrew

Just a reminder to see if you can send me the discussion points or minutes from the HOMS discussion.

As discussed it is crucial that we get this before Friday, 21 July 2017.

Thank you.

Kind regards

**Adél Groenewald**  
**Environmental Consultant**

**Doug Jeffery Environmental Consultants**

PO Box 44, Klapmuts, 7625 / PO Box 5336, Worcester, 6862

Tel: 021 875 5272

Fax: 086 660 2635



---

**From:** Adél Groenewald  
**Sent:** Monday, 17 July 2017 2:53 PM  
**To:** 'Andrew T September'; Jonathan Kaplan  
**Cc:** Waseefa Dhansay  
**Subject:** RE: koeberg concrete reservoir  
**Importance:** High

Dear Andrew,

I've tried to phone you earlier, but could not get hold of you.

Could you please send us the minutes of the HOMS discussion so we can see what the concerns were and address it in the meantime?

We are running out of time on this project in terms of the NEMA timeframes therefore we need to address any concerns immediately.

We have to submit the final report by latest on Friday, 21 July 2017 in order for us to make the deadline, otherwise the project will lapse.

It will be greatly appreciated if you can assist us in this matter.

Kind regards

**Adél Groenewald**

**Environmental Consultant**

**Doug Jeffery Environmental Consultants**

PO Box 44, Klapmuts, 7625 / PO Box 5336, Worcester, 6862

Tel: 021 875 5272

Fax: 086 660 2635



---

**From:** Andrew T September [<mailto:Andrew.September@westerncape.gov.za>]

**Sent:** Friday, 14 July 2017 11:00 AM

**To:** Jonathan Kaplan

**Cc:** Adél Groenewald; Waseefa Dhansay

**Subject:** RE: koeberg concrete reservoir

Dear Jonathan

The item was discussed at HOMS today however it will be referred to APM for final comment due to some concerns raised.

Kind Regards

**Andrew September (Heritage Officer)**  
**Heritage Western Cape**  
**3rd Floor Protea Assurance Building**  
**Greenmarket Square**  
**Cape Town**  
**8001**

---

**From:** Jonathan Kaplan [<mailto:acrm@waccess.co.za>]

**Sent:** 14 July 2017 07:31 AM

**To:** Andrew T September <[Andrew.September@westerncape.gov.za](mailto:Andrew.September@westerncape.gov.za)>

**Cc:** Adél Groenewald <[Adel@dougjeff.co.za](mailto:Adel@dougjeff.co.za)>; Waseefa Dhansay <[Waseefa.Dhansay@westerncape.gov.za](mailto:Waseefa.Dhansay@westerncape.gov.za)>

**Subject:** FW: koeberg concrete reservoir

Dear Andrew,

Just a reminder to please revert as soon as possible

This process might need to commence again, if there is no comment from HWC

It doesn't have to be official, just some feedback will be OK

Best, Jonathan

---

**From:** Jonathan Kaplan [<mailto:acrm@waccess.co.za>]

**Sent:** 12 July 2017 09:10 AM

**To:** [Andrew.September@westerncape.gov.za](mailto:Andrew.September@westerncape.gov.za)

**Cc:** Adél Groenewald ([Adel@dougjeff.co.za](mailto:Adel@dougjeff.co.za))

**Subject:** koeberg concrete reservoir

Dear Andrew,

The attached refers

Could you please indicate whether APM will be able to comment on the HIA before the 26 June, 2017.

It is quite urgent.

Best regards, Jonathan

"All views or opinions expressed in this electronic message and its attachments are the view of the sender and do not necessarily reflect the views and opinions of the Western Cape Government (the WCG). No employee of the WCG is entitled to conclude a binding contract on behalf of the WCG unless he/she is an accounting officer of the WCG, or his or her authorised representative. The information contained in this message and its attachments may be confidential or privileged and is for the use of the named recipient only, except where the sender specifically states otherwise. If you are not the intended recipient you may not copy or deliver this message to anyone."

"All views or opinions expressed in this electronic message and its attachments are the view of the sender and do not necessarily reflect the views and opinions of the Western Cape Government (the WCG). No employee of the WCG is entitled to conclude a binding contract on behalf of the WCG unless he/she is an accounting officer of the WCG, or his or her authorised representative. The information contained in this message and its attachments may be confidential or privileged and is for the use of the named recipient only, except where the sender specifically states otherwise. If you are not the intended recipient you may not copy or deliver this message to anyone."



**iLifa leMveli leNtshona Koloni  
Erfenis Wes-Kaap  
Heritage Western Cape**

10325

HWC Receipt ..... 20 ..... / ..... 06 ..... /2017...

*For office use only*

**APPLICANT INFORMATION:**

Contact Person: ..... J. Kaplan .....

Contact Number: ..... 082 34 0172 .....

**SITE INFORMATION:**

Site address: ..... Farm 34 Duynfontein .....

Malmesbury

Erf: .....

Case no: ..... 16092709 AS1006E .....

Received by: ..... BUSKINE .....

**NOTE:**

- No telephone calls or other queries will be accepted or responded to until 10 working days have elapsed since delivery.

*Initial the box if checked*

	1	2	3	4
Proof of payment	✓			
Correct reference number	✓			
Required forms / document submitted				
Correct amount paid	✓			
Application complete				
Total amount paid	R1 100.00			

Application